

ライフサイクルアセスメント
生命週期評估
전 과정 평가
வாழ்க்கை வட்டப் பகுப்பாய்வு

ارزیابی چرخه عمر
ការវាយតម្លៃរង្វង់ជីវិត

Evaluarea Ciclului de Viață
Posuzování Životního Cyklu
Penilaian Daur Hidup
Lífsferilsgreining
Levenscyclusanalyse
Livscyklusvurdering

ESU-services
fair consulting in sustainability

PRO
FUTURE

Life cycle assessment of microalgae as protein source : comparison of drying technologies

Maresa Bussa, Dr. Niels Jungbluth
ESU-services GmbH, Schaffhausen

ESU

LCA
FOODS
13th International Conference on Life Cycle Assessment of Food

Life cycle assessment of microalgae as protein source : comparison of drying technologies

Maresa Bussa, Niels Jungbluth
ESU-services GmbH, Schaffhausen



Introduction

- Microalgae have been recognized as promising protein source
- Previous life cycle assessment studies have shown disadvantageous results when compared the other protein sources
- Main reason: high energy demand of the production processes
- Research question: Can innovative drying technologies help microalgal protein to compete with other protein sources?
- Funding: European Commission, Horizon 2020, www.pro-future.eu

Methodology and Data

- Studied species:
 - *Chlorella vulagris* (32% protein), heterotrophic cultivation
 - *Tetraselmis chui* (40% protein), photo-autotrophic cultivation
- LCI includes: cultivation, harvesting and drying
- LCIA method: European Footprint 3.0
- Background data: ecoinvent 3.8 cut-off and ESU food database
- Functional unit: dry powder containing 1 kg protein

Product system

Chlorella vulgaris:
Heterotrophic cultivation in fermenter
Harvesting by membrane filtration

Cultivation

Harvesting

Tetraselmis chui:
Photo-autotrophic in photobioreactor
Harvesting by centrifugation

Spray drier


Freeze drier

Solar drier

Agitated thin film drier

Pulsed combustion drier

Environmental impacts per kg protein




- Microalgae not competitive with plant proteins, Chlorella comparable to animal proteins
- Drying yield most important parameter

Midpoint results: *Chlorella vulgaris*

Impact category	Unit	Pork	Poultry	Beef	Spray drier	Agitated thin film drier	Solar drier	Pulsed combustion drier	Freeze drier
Climate change	kg CO2 eq	6%	5%	24%	100%	59%	45%	45%	62%
Ozone depletion	kg CFC11 eq	2%	2%	2%	100%	74%	59%	65%	64%
Ionising radiation	kBq U-235 eq	5%	5%	4%	100%	49%	35%	31%	60%
Photochemical ozone formation	kg NMVOC eq	9%	7%	14%	100%	66%	51%	49%	62%
Particulate matter	disease inc.	30%	22%	100%	81%	65%	53%	51%	54%
Human toxicity, non-cancer	CTUh	12%	8%	-20%	100%	72%	57%	54%	63%
Human toxicity, cancer	CTUh	7%	5%	4%	86%	68%	49%	46%	100%
Acidification	mol H+ eq	24%	18%	80%	100%	66%	52%	49%	63%
Eutrophication, freshwater	kg P eq	2%	2%	3%	100%	57%	41%	37%	56%
Eutrophication, marine	kg N eq	24%	17%	25%	100%	93%	65%	65%	44%
Eutrophication, terrestrial	mol N eq	29%	22%	100%	58%	45%	36%	35%	37%
Ecotoxicity, freshwater	CTUe	7%	5%	7%	100%	80%	63%	61%	61%
Land use	Pt	41%	32%	100%	65%	53%	46%	42%	43%
Water use	m3 depriv.	32%	20%	34%	100%	71%	56%	54%	64%
Resource use, fossils	MJ	3%	3%	3%	100%	61%	46%	46%	62%
Resource use, minerals and metals	kg Sb eq	2%	1%	3%	100%	87%	74%	69%	70%


- Climate change impacts worse than beef, few indicators show lower results than beef
- Land use impacts for new drying technologies comparable to pork

Hotspot analysis: *Chlorella vulgaris*



- Electricity use is most important driver
- Nutrition (glucose) and cleaning (propane) are important as well

Sensitivity analysis: *Chlorella vulgaris*




- Reduction to approximately 4 milli-ecopoints per kg protein possible
- Reminder: Animal protein 3-10 milli-ecopoints, plant protein 0.3-1.6 milli-ecopoints per kg protein

Midpoint results: Tetraselmis chui

Impact category	Unit	Pork	Poultry	Beef	Spray drier	Agitated thin film drier	Solar drier	Pulsed combustion drier	Freeze drier
Climate change	kg CO2 eq	2%	1%	7%	100%	73%	61%	73%	66%
Ozone depletion	kg CFC11 eq	0%	0%	0%	100%	77%	65%	78%	66%
Ionising radiation	kBq U-235 eq	2%	2%	1%	100%	70%	58%	68%	65%
Photochemical ozone formation	kg NMVOC eq	3%	2%	4%	100%	73%	62%	73%	66%
Particulate matter	disease inc.	8%	6%	26%	100%	78%	66%	78%	67%
Human toxicity, non-cancer	CTUh	3%	2%	-5%	100%	75%	63%	75%	66%
Human toxicity, cancer	CTUh	2%	2%	1%	100%	75%	62%	74%	78%
Acidification	mol H+ eq	5%	4%	18%	100%	76%	64%	75%	66%
Eutrophication, freshwater	kg P eq	1%	1%	1%	100%	70%	58%	68%	64%
Eutrophication, marine	kg N eq	12%	9%	13%	100%	70%	55%	70%	56%
Eutrophication, terrestrial	mol N eq	22%	16%	75%	100%	73%	61%	73%	65%
Ecotoxicity, freshwater	CTUe	2%	2%	2%	100%	75%	62%	74%	65%
Land use	Pt	26%	20%	62%	100%	75%	64%	75%	67%
Water use	m3 depriv.	5%	3%	5%	100%	78%	66%	78%	67%
Resource use, fossils	MJ	1%	1%	1%	100%	71%	60%	71%	65%
Resource use, minerals and metals	kg Sb eq	0%	0%	1%	100%	78%	67%	78%	67%


- Climate change impacts around one order of magnitude higher than for beef
- Lower results for terrestrial eutrophication when compared to beef

Hotspot analysis: Tetraselmis chui



- Energy use is most important driver
- Sea-water cleaning (sodium nitrate and thiosulfate) and nutrition (CO₂) are important as well

Sensitivity analysis: Tetraselmis chui



- Reduction to approximately 20 milli-ecopoints per kg protein possible
- Reminder: Animal protein 3-10 milli-ecopoints, plant protein 0.3-1.6 milli-ecopoints per kg protein

Conclusion

- Innovative drying technologies can reduce the environmental impacts of microalgal protein for *Chlorella vulgaris* to an order of magnitude comparable to animal protein
- Drying yield has the highest influence on the result of the drying technologies
- For both species measures should be tested to reduce the electricity consumption of the cultivation stage.
- Nutrient-rich waste streams should be evaluated as alternative to fertilizers/glucose..

Copyright notice

All rights reserved. The contents of this presentation (a. o. texts, graphics, photos, logos etc.) and the presentation itself are protected by copyright. They have been prepared by ESU-services Ltd.. Any distribution or presentation of the content is prohibited without prior written consent by ESU-services Ltd.. Without the written authorization by ESU-services Ltd. this document and/or parts thereof must not be distributed, modified, published, translated or reproduced, neither in form of photocopies, microfilming nor other - especially electronic - processes. This provision also covers the inclusion into or the evaluation by databases. Contraventions will entail legal prosecution.



In case of any questions, please contact:

Maresa Bussa
ESU-services Ltd. - fair consulting in sustainability
Rheinstrasse 20
CH-8200 Schaffhausen
www.esu-services.ch
bussa@esu-services.ch

© Copyright ESU-services Ltd. 13/10/2022